

UdeM-LPN-TH-162

CRM-1916

Induced $B \wedge F$ term and photon mass generation in 3+1 dimensionsM. LEBLANC,^{1,2} R. MACKENZIE,¹ P.K. PANIGRAHI,^{1*} R. RAY^{3,4}¹*Laboratoire de Physique Nucléaire, Université de Montréal
Montréal, Qué, Canada H3C 3J7*²*Centre de Recherches Mathématiques, Université de Montréal
Montréal, Qué, Canada H3C 3J7*³*Physics Dept., City College of the City University of New York
New York, NY 10013*⁴*Department of Physics, University of Maryland
College Park, MD 20742*

ABSTRACT

Analysing a 3+1 dimensional model with four-Fermi interactions, we show that topological $B \wedge F$ terms (both abelian and non-abelian) can be induced radiatively by massive fermions at the one-loop level. It is further pointed out that a mechanism of photon (or non-abelian gauge field) mass generation distinct from the usual Higgs mechanism, through the $B \wedge F$ term, is also implemented in the long-distance effective action of this model, provided a gap equation is satisfied.

* Address after 1 October, 1993: Department of Physics, University of Hyderabad, Hyderabad, India, 500134.

In four dimensional gauge theories, one can contemplate adding a so-called θ -term proportional to $\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} F_{\mu\nu} F_{\rho\sigma}$ to the Lagrangian. At first sight, such a term appears unimportant, being a total derivative and therefore having no effect on the equations of motion, which are derived from local considerations. However it has an influence on global aspects of the theory, since it measures the topology of the gauge field configuration. This gives rise to a variety of well-known effects such as θ -vacua and CP-violation.

A similar term which does have a local (as well as global) significance can be written in a theory where one has a vector and antisymmetric tensor field. Consider the following Lagrangian describing a U(1) gauge field A_μ and an antisymmetric tensor field $B_{\mu\nu}$ [1]:

$$\mathcal{L}^{\text{free}} = -\frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{12}H_{\mu\nu\rho}H^{\mu\nu\rho}. \quad (1)$$

Here, $F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu$ and $H_{\mu\nu\rho} = \partial_\mu B_{\nu\rho} + \partial_\nu B_{\rho\mu} + \partial_\rho B_{\mu\nu}$ are the respective field strengths for the gauge and antisymmetric tensor fields. The Lagrangian is invariant under the gauge invariances $A_\mu \rightarrow A_\mu + \partial_\mu \Lambda$ and $B_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow B_{\mu\nu} + \partial_\mu \Lambda_\nu - \partial_\nu \Lambda_\mu$, where Λ and Λ_μ are scalar and four-vector gauge parameters, respectively. A term which respects these two symmetries (up to a total derivative) and which therefore can be added to the Lagrangian above is the following [1,2], which we refer to as the $B \wedge F$ -term:

$$g\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} B_{\mu\nu} F_{\rho\sigma}. \quad (2)$$

This term is a natural generalization of the topological Chern-Simons (CS) term [3] to 3+1 dimensions, and as such has attracted considerable interest [4] in the

literature recently for its potential to encode topological information about four-manifolds, as the CS term has done recently for three-manifolds. Furthermore, it has been shown recently that the combined action (1)+(2) provides a mechanism for photon mass generation which is topological in nature and is quite distinct from the usual Anderson-Higgs mechanism [5,6]. From another point of view, there have been attempts to generalize Polyakov's construction [7] of the transmutation of the statistics of point particles in 2+1 dimensions via a CS photon to that of strings in 3+1 dimensions in the presence of the $B \wedge F$ term. Broadly speaking, the $B \wedge F$ term can keep track of the way in which a string world sheet “braids” around the vortex world line, via a generalization of the Wilson loop:

$$\langle e^{i \oint A_\mu dx^\mu} e^{i \oint B_{\mu\nu} dS^{\mu\nu}} \rangle \quad (3)$$

Composites of one-dimensional objects and vortices can exhibit fractional statistics and angular momentum in 3+1 dimensions [8].

An antisymmetric tensor field appears naturally in the dual formulation of free, massless scalar field theory [1,9]. That this is true can be seen from the following on-shell derivation. We begin with the massless Klein-Gordon equation:

$$\square \phi = 0 \quad (4)$$

If we define the vector $R_\mu = \partial_\mu \phi$, (4) becomes

$$\partial_\mu R^\mu = 0. \quad (5)$$

Thus, R is divergence-free; *i.e.*, it can be expressed as a curl:

$$R_\mu = \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \partial^\nu B^{\rho\sigma}, \quad (6)$$

with $B^{\rho\sigma}$ an antisymmetric tensor field. On the other hand, R is the gradient of ϕ , so

$$\partial_\mu R_\nu - \partial_\nu R_\mu = 0. \quad (7)$$

In terms of B , this is

$$\partial_\mu \left(\partial^{[\mu} B^{\nu\rho]} \right) = 0, \quad (8)$$

an equation which is invariant under the gauge transformation $B_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow \partial_\mu \Lambda_\nu - \partial_\nu \Lambda_\mu$ and which can be derived from the Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{12} (\partial_\mu B_{\nu\rho} + \partial_\nu B_{\rho\mu} + \partial_\rho B_{\mu\nu})^2 = \frac{1}{12} (H_{\mu\nu\rho})^2. \quad (9)$$

Another situation where the $B \wedge F$ term naturally appears is in the dual formulation of the London limit of the Landau-Ginzburg action [10], and also in string theories, where they are instrumental in implementing the anomaly cancellation mechanism of Green and Schwartz [8,11]. It is therefore of considerable importance to investigate whether or not these terms could arise by other means.

In this paper, we show that such terms do indeed necessarily appear at the one-loop level in some familiar theories with four-fermi couplings. In this respect, it resembles the radiative appearance of the CS term in the presence of a parity-violating massive fermion in 2+1 dimensions [12]. As occurs there, the $B \wedge F$ term is the lowest order term in a derivative expansion of the effective action, and it should play a dominant role in determining the various low-energy phases of the theory.

The model studied is conventional massive electrodynamics with added four-fermi terms of Thirring (current-current) and dipole-dipole type. We begin with the Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4e^2}F_{\mu\nu}^2 + \bar{\psi}(i\not{D} - m)\psi - \frac{g_1}{2}(\bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu\psi)(\bar{\psi}\gamma_\mu\psi) - \frac{g_2}{2}(\bar{\psi}\sigma^{\mu\nu}\gamma_5\psi)(\bar{\psi}\sigma_{\mu\nu}\gamma_5\psi), \quad (10)$$

with $D_\mu = \partial_\mu + iA_\mu$.

The fermion functional integral is quite complicated due to the four-fermi terms. However, it can be simplified using a standard trick known as a Hubbard-Stratonovich transformation [13]. For each four-fermi term, one introduces an auxiliary field: a vector field a_μ in the case of the Thirring term, an antisymmetric tensor field $B_{\mu\nu}$ in the case of the dipole-dipole term. Thus, each four-fermi term is replaced by a term which is the product of the fermion bilinear and the auxiliary field, plus a quadratic term in the auxiliary field. With judiciously chosen constants, the original Lagrangian is regained by solving the equation of motion for the auxiliary field and substituting this back into the Lagrangian.

In fact, our procedure will be a slight variant on this. Recall that our goal is to show that a $B \wedge F$ term arises in this model. Thus, it is clearly necessary to introduce the auxiliary $B_{\mu\nu}$ field. On the other hand, the auxiliary a_μ field does not really interest us and we can simplify our analysis by studying the theory using standard perturbation theory techniques with respect to g_1 , the coefficient of the Thirring term. We will comment briefly below on the symmetric approach where both four-fermi terms are eliminated in favour of auxiliary fields.

We are thus led to consider the following Lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4e^2}F_{\mu\nu}^2 - \frac{1}{2g_2}B_{\mu\nu}^2 + \bar{\psi}(i\not{D} - m)\psi + \bar{\psi}i\sigma^{\mu\nu}\gamma_5\psi B_{\mu\nu} - \frac{g_1}{2}(\bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu\psi)^2 \quad (11)$$

Although this model is of academic interest in particle physics since the dipole moments of the fundamental particles are known to be extremely small, it can be relevant to condensed matter systems. The quasi-particles in anti-ferromagnetic, magneto-optic materials, for example, have large dipole moments [14]. Massive U(1)-invariant fermionic field theories commonly appear as effective low-energy models of frustrated antiferromagnetic spin systems [15,16]. Furthermore, tensor order parameters, although not common, do occur in physical systems such as $^3\text{He-A}$ [17].

We are interested in the effective action obtained by integrating out the fermions; thus we consider the following path integral:

$$Z = \int [\mathcal{D}\bar{\psi}][\mathcal{D}\psi][\mathcal{D}A_\mu][\mathcal{D}B_{\mu\nu}] e^{i \int d^4x \mathcal{L}(A,B,\bar{\psi},\psi)}. \quad (12)$$

The effective action $\Gamma_{\text{eff}}[A, B]$ is obtained in principle by performing the fermionic functional integral:

$$Z = \int [\mathcal{D}A][\mathcal{D}B] e^{i\Gamma_{\text{eff}}[A,B]}, \quad (13)$$

where

$$e^{i\Gamma_{\text{eff}}[A,B]} = e^{i \int d^4x \left(-\frac{1}{4e^2}F_{\mu\nu}^2 - \frac{1}{2g_2}B_{\mu\nu}^2 \right)} e^{i\Gamma_{\text{eff}}^{(f)}[A,B]}. \quad (14)$$

Here we have defined $\Gamma_{\text{eff}}^{(f)}$ to be the fermionic contribution to the effective action:

$$e^{i\Gamma_{\text{eff}}^{(f)}[A,B]} = \int [\mathcal{D}\bar{\psi}][\mathcal{D}\psi] e^{i \int d^4x \bar{\psi}(\not{D} - m - \not{A} + i\not{B})\psi} e^{-i\frac{g_1}{2} \int d^4x (\bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu\psi)^2}, \quad (15)$$

where $\not{B} \equiv \sigma^{\mu\nu} \gamma_5 B_{\mu\nu}$. Although the last term renders the functional integral intractable, we can expand it as a power series in g_1 :

$$e^{i\Gamma_{\text{eff}}^{(f)}[A,B]} = \int [\mathcal{D}\bar{\psi}][\mathcal{D}\psi] e^{i \int d^4x \bar{\psi} (i\not{D} - m - \not{A} + i\not{B}) \psi} \left(1 - i \frac{g_1}{2} \int d^4x (\bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \psi)^2 + o(g_1^2) \right). \quad (16)$$

Defining S_0 as the part of the action bilinear in the fermion (*i.e.*, the term independent of g_1), the term linear in g_1 can be written

$$\begin{aligned} i \frac{g_1}{2} \int d^4x \int [\mathcal{D}\bar{\psi}][\mathcal{D}\psi] e^{iS_0} (\bar{\psi}(x) \gamma^\mu \psi(x))^2 \\ = \left(\int [\mathcal{D}\bar{\psi}][\mathcal{D}\psi] e^{iS_0} \right) i \frac{g_1}{2} \int d^4x \langle 0 | (\bar{\psi}(x) \gamma^\mu \psi(x))^2 | 0 \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

where $|0\rangle$ is the ground state of the action S_0 . Recombining this with the $(g_1)^0$ term,

$$\begin{aligned} e^{i\Gamma_{\text{eff}}^{(f)}} &= \left(1 - i \frac{g_1}{2} \int d^4x \langle 0 | (\bar{\psi}(x) \gamma^\mu \psi(x))^2 | 0 \rangle + \dots \right) \int [\mathcal{D}\bar{\psi}][\mathcal{D}\psi] e^{iS_0} \\ &\simeq e^{-i \frac{g_1}{2} \int d^4x \langle 0 | (\bar{\psi}(x) \gamma^\mu \psi(x))^2 | 0 \rangle} \int [\mathcal{D}\bar{\psi}][\mathcal{D}\psi] e^{iS_0}. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

So, to order $(g_1)^0$, $\Gamma_{\text{eff}}^{(f)}$ is obtained via the functional integral of the quadratic action S_0 , while the correction to order g_1 is expressed in terms of the expectation value in the vacuum of S_0 of the square of the current.

Let us first compute the $(g_1)^0$ contribution, which we call $\Gamma_{\text{eff}0}^{(f)}$. This is

$$\Gamma_{\text{eff}0}^{(f)} = -i \text{Tr} \log (i\not{D} - m - \not{A} + i\not{B}). \quad (19)$$

This can be decomposed by separating off the trace-log of the operator $i\not{D} - m$ and expanding the resulting logarithm. Thus, up to a field-independent (and therefore

irrelevant), infinite term,

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma_{\text{eff } 0}^{(f)} &= -i \text{Tr} \log \left(1 + \frac{1}{i\not{\partial} - m} (-\not{A} + i\not{B}) \right) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{i}{n} (-1)^n \text{Tr} \left(\frac{1}{i\not{\partial} - m} (-\not{A} + i\not{B}) \right)^n,\end{aligned}\tag{20}$$

where the trace is over spinor indices as well as momenta.

The first nonvanishing contribution to Γ_{eff} can be obtained from evaluation of the $n = 2$ term, which will be quadratic in the fields A and B . This term is

$$\frac{i}{2} \text{tr} \int \frac{d^4 p}{(2\pi)^4} \langle p | \frac{1}{i\not{\partial} - m} (-\not{A} + i\not{B}) \frac{1}{i\not{\partial} - m} (-\not{A} + i\not{B}) | p \rangle,\tag{21}$$

where now the trace is only over spinor indices.

To evaluate this term, we need to separate the x -dependent and p -dependent parts of the integrand, in order to obtain independent x and p integrals. While this cannot be done exactly, a gradient expansion can be obtained with a minimum of pain [18]. Keeping only terms to two derivatives, we get three quadratic terms in the effective action:

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma_{\text{eff } 0}^{(f)}[A, A] &= -\frac{1}{48\pi^2} \log(\Lambda^2/m^2) \int d^4 x F_{\mu\nu}^2, \\ \Gamma_{\text{eff } 0}^{(f)}[A, B] &= -\frac{m}{8\pi^2} \log(\Lambda^2/m^2) \int d^4 x \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} B_{\mu\nu} F_{\rho\sigma}, \\ \Gamma_{\text{eff } 0}^{(f)}[B, B] &= \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \log(\Lambda^2/m^2) \int d^4 x (m^2 B_{\mu\nu}^2 + B^{\mu\nu} (i\partial)^2 B_{\mu\nu} - 4B^{\mu\sigma} i\partial_\mu i\partial_\nu B^\nu{}_\sigma).\end{aligned}\tag{22}$$

Here we have assumed $\Lambda \gg m$, and have therefore neglected terms which are finite, since they are dominated by the log. At a more profound level, we have ensured gauge invariance with respect to A_μ by Pauli-Villars regularization.

From (22), we see that we do indeed generate a $B \wedge F$ term. However, the third member of (22) indicates that there is a kinetic term for $B_{\mu\nu}$ which is not of the desired form, (9). This implies that $\Gamma(B, B)$ is not invariant under the generalized gauge invariance $B_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow B_{\mu\nu} + \partial_\mu \Lambda_\nu - \partial_\nu \Lambda_\mu$ of the free Lagrangian (1). This is, in fact, no surprise, since the initial action (11) was not invariant under such a transformation. Although expected, this non-invariance is nonetheless alarming, since it is then not clear that the argument for photon mass generation given in [5] still applies. We will see below that under certain circumstances, the offending gauge-non-invariant term in (22) can be neglected, in which circumstances the effective Lagrangian has the desired interpretation, namely, the photon acquires a mass.

It remains to calculate the correction to order $g_1, \Gamma_{\text{eff } 1}^{(f)}$, which can be inferred from (18). We must compute the following vacuum expectation value:

$$\langle 0 | (\bar{\psi}(x) \gamma^\mu \psi(x))^2 | 0 \rangle. \quad (23)$$

We use an approximation known as vacuum dominance to write (23) in the following form:

$$(\langle 0 | \bar{\psi}(x) \gamma^\mu \psi(x) | 0 \rangle)^2, \quad (24)$$

in which form it is readily computable as the square of the expectation value of the current:

$$\langle j^\mu(x) \rangle = \langle 0 | \bar{\psi}(x) \gamma^\mu \psi(x) | 0 \rangle = \frac{\delta \Gamma_{\text{eff } 0}^{(f)}}{\delta A_\mu(x)} = \frac{m}{4\pi^2} \log \frac{\Lambda^2}{m^2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \partial_\nu B_{\rho\sigma}(x), \quad (25)$$

where an integration by parts has been performed. Thus

$$\langle j^\mu(x) \rangle^2 = -\frac{m^2}{24\pi^4} \log^2 \frac{\Lambda^2}{m^2} H_{\mu\nu\rho} H^{\mu\nu\rho}. \quad (26)$$

We are now in a position to reassemble all the terms in the effective action which are bilinear in the fields and of two or fewer derivatives: the tree level terms (the first of (14)), the fermion contributions of order $(g_1)^0$, (22), and the fermion contribution of order $(g_1)^1$, obtained from (26). The result is

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{\text{eff}} = \int d^4x \Big\{ & \frac{1}{2g_2} B_{\mu\nu}^2 \left(\frac{g_2 m^2}{2\pi^2} \log \frac{\Lambda^2}{m^2} - 1 \right) - \frac{1}{4e^2} F_{\mu\nu}^2 \left(1 + \frac{e^2}{12\pi^2} \log \frac{\Lambda^2}{m^2} \right) \\ & - \frac{m}{8\pi^2} \log \frac{\Lambda^2}{m^2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} B_{\mu\nu} F_{\rho\sigma} + \frac{g_1}{4} \frac{m^2}{\pi^4} \log^2 \frac{\Lambda^2}{m^2} \frac{1}{12} H_{\mu\nu\rho} H^{\mu\nu\rho} \\ & - \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \log \frac{\Lambda^2}{m^2} B^{\mu\sigma} (\partial^2 g_{\mu\nu} - 4\partial_\mu \partial_\nu) B^\nu{}_\sigma \Big\}. \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

This can be cleaned up somewhat with the following field redefinition

$$\tilde{B}_{\mu\nu} = \frac{\sqrt{g_1}}{2} \frac{m}{\pi^2} \log \frac{\Lambda^2}{m^2} B_{\mu\nu}; \quad (28)$$

furthermore, we can drop the radiative correction to the photon kinetic term to lowest order. We therefore arrive at the following expression for the effective action:

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{\text{eff}}[A, B] = \int d^4x \Big(& -\frac{1}{4e^2} F_{\mu\nu}^2 + \frac{1}{12} \tilde{H}_{\mu\nu\rho} \tilde{H}^{\mu\nu\rho} - \frac{1}{4\sqrt{g_1}} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \tilde{B}_{\mu\nu} F_{\rho\sigma} \\ & + \frac{2\tilde{B}_{\mu\nu}^2}{g_1 g_2} \left(\frac{\pi^2}{m \log(\Lambda^2/m^2)} \right)^2 \left(\frac{g_2 m^2}{2\pi^2} \log \frac{\Lambda^2}{m^2} - 1 \right) \\ & - \frac{\pi^2}{g_1 m^2 \log(\Lambda^2/m^2)} \tilde{B}^{\mu\sigma} (\partial^2 g_{\mu\nu} - 4\partial_\mu \partial_\nu) \tilde{B}_\sigma{}^\nu \Big) \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

The first three terms are those shown in [5] to result in mass generation for the photon. We must therefore contend with the last two terms.

Ordinarily, the fourth term would be interpreted as a mass term for the anti-symmetric tensor field. However, suppose we tune the cutoff in such a way that the coefficient of this term vanishes. Then the following gap equation is satisfied:

$$m^2 = \Lambda^2 e^{-2\pi^2/m^2 g_2}. \quad (30)$$

This is a consistent choice since we have assumed that the coupling g_2 is small, so that the product of the exponential with Λ^2 can indeed be of order m^2 . A large value for Λ^2 implies weak coupling, so perturbation theory is valid.

We must also contend with the last term of (29), which destroys the generalized gauge invariance of the first three terms. The coefficient of the last term, using (30), is

$$\frac{\pi^2}{g_1 m^2 \log(\Lambda^2/m^2)} = \frac{g_2}{2g_1} \quad (31)$$

Assuming this ratio is small allows us to drop this term relative to the kinetic term for $B_{\mu\nu}$, resulting in the following effective action:

$$\Gamma_{\text{eff}} = \int d^4x \left\{ -\frac{1}{4e^2} F_{\mu\nu}^2 + \frac{1}{12} \tilde{H}_{\mu\nu\rho} \tilde{H}^{\mu\nu\rho} - \frac{\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \tilde{B}_{\mu\nu} F_{\rho\sigma}}{4\sqrt{g_1}} \right\}. \quad (32)$$

As shown in [5], this action leads to a massive spin-1 vector field with

$$M^2 = \frac{1}{g_1}. \quad (33)$$

We have thus accomplished our goal of demonstrating the existence of a theory where this mass generation mechanism arises through radiative corrections.

We note that the Lagrangian (32) is invariant under both local U(1) gauge transformations and under the generalized gauge transformations. It is interesting to notice that we have restored generalized gauge invariance by looking at the effective low-energy theory. Furthermore, the U(1) gauge invariance is maintained for the massive photon by requiring that the extra longitudinal mode transforms also under the electromagnetic gauge invariance [5].

As we remarked above, the procedure we have used treated the two four-fermi terms on different footing: the dipole-dipole term was eliminated by introducing the auxiliary field $B_{\mu\nu}$, while the current-current term was treated using conventional perturbation theory. This was done for reasons of calculational simplicity, but it is perhaps worth describing very briefly the alternative, symmetric approach. One can imagine introducing a second auxiliary field, a_μ , which replaces the current-current term in the same fashion; rather than (11), we start from

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4e^2}F_{\mu\nu}^2 - \frac{1}{2g_2}B_{\mu\nu}^2 - \frac{1}{2g_1}a_\mu^2 + \bar{\psi}(i\not{D} - m)\psi + \bar{\psi}i\sigma^{\mu\nu}\gamma_5\psi B_{\mu\nu} + \bar{\psi}i\gamma^\mu\psi a_\mu. \quad (34)$$

If we integrate over the fermion, using Pauli-Villars regularization for the terms in the effective action involving A_μ , a_μ to keep the result gauge invariant with respect to A_μ , we obtain an effective action for the fields A_μ , a_μ and $B_{\mu\nu}$. There are now two possible procedures. First, we could integrate over the auxiliary vector field a_μ . Keeping only two-derivative terms, one arrives eventually at the same result as above, (27). Alternatively, we could integrate over $B_{\mu\nu}$, obtaining an effective theory for A_μ and a_μ . It turns out that if the same gap equation (30) is satisfied, then the field strength derived from the potential $A_\mu - ia_\mu$ is zero. We see then that the two potentials differ only by a gauge transformation and again conclude

that the photon acquires a mass $M^2 = 1/g_1$ via the Stuckelberg compensating field argument. Thus, the non-symmetric way we treated the two four-fermi terms in the original Lagrangian (10) is equivalent to the somewhat more involved, but more natural-appearing, symmetric approach.

As a final note, it is perhaps more interesting in terms of particle physics phenomenology to discuss the non-abelian case, since massive non-abelian gauge fields are ubiquitous in that context. Indeed, starting with a nonabelian version of (11),

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{4e^2} \text{Tr} F_{\mu\nu}^2 + \bar{\psi}(i\not{D} - m)\psi + \frac{1}{2g_2} \text{Tr}(B_{\mu\nu}^i T^i)^2 - \frac{g_1}{2} (\bar{\psi}\gamma_\mu \mathbf{1}\psi)^2 + i(\bar{\psi}\sigma^\mu\gamma_5 B_{\mu\nu}^i T^i)\psi, \quad (35)$$

where now $D_\mu = \partial_\mu + iA_\mu^i T^i$, we can integrate over the fermion to obtain an effective action for the non-abelian gauge field A_μ^i and $B_{\mu\nu}^i$. After some work, one finds that the effective action to two derivatives is of a similar structure to the abelian case: one has kinetic terms for the gauge field and for $B_{\mu\nu}^i$, a term quadratic in B with no derivatives (the coefficient of which is set to zero by tuning the cutoff which arises), and a term of the form $B \wedge F$. This is exactly the situation analysed in [6], wherein it was concluded that this combination of terms results in a mass for the non-abelian gauge bosons. We conclude, therefore, that such four-fermi terms can indeed generate masses for non-abelian gauge fields as well.

We gratefully acknowledge useful discussions with V.P. Nair. This work was supported in part by the Natural Science and Engineering Research Council of Canada, the Fonds F.C.A.R. du Québec, and the U.S. National Science Foundation.

REFERENCES

1. M. Kalb and P. Ramond, *Phys. Rev. D* **9**, 2273 (1974).
2. S. Deser, *Phys. Rev.* **187**, 1931 (1969).
3. R. Jackiw and S. Templeton, *Phys. Rev. D* **23**, 2291 (1981); J. Schonfield, *Nucl. Phys.* **B185**, 157 (1981); S. Deser, R. Jackiw and S. Templeton, *Ann. Phys.* **140**, 372 (1982).
4. G.T. Horowitz, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **125**, 417 (1989).
5. E. Cremmer and J. Scherk, *Nucl. Phys.* **B72**, 117 (1974); D.Z. Freedman and P.K. Townsend, *Nucl. Phys.* **B177**, 282 (1981); T. R. Govindarajan, *J. Phys. G. (Nucl. Phys.)* **8**, L17 (1982); J.A. Minahan and R.C. Warner, Florida preprint UFIFT-HEP-89-15 (1989); T.J. Allen, M. Bowick and A. Lahiri, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A6**, 559 (1991).
6. A. Lahiri, preprint LA-UT-92-3477 (1992).
7. A.M. Polyakov, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A3**, 325 (1988).
8. X. Fustero, R. Gambini and A. Trias, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **62**, 1964 (1989); R. Gambini and L. Setaro, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **65**, 2623 (1990); J.A. Harvey and J. Liu, *Phys. Lett.* **240B**, 369 (1990); A.P. Balachandran, V.P. Nair, B.-S. Skagerstam and A. Stern, *Phys. Rev. D* **26**, 1443 (1982); C. Aneziris, A.P. Balachandran, L. Kauffman and A.M. Srivastava, *Int. Jour. Mod. Phys.* **A6**, 2519 (1991).
9. S.G. Rajeev, preprint MIT-CTP-1335 (unpublished).

10. A.P. Balachandran and P. Teotonio-Sobrinho, *Int. Jour. Mod. Phys.* **A8**, 723 (1993).
11. M.B. Green, J.M. Schwartz and E. Witten, *Superstring Theory*, Cambridge University Press, New York, 1987.
12. A.N. Redlich, *Phys. Rev. D* **29**, 2366 (1984).
13. J. Hubbard, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **3**, 77 (1959); R.L. Stratonovich, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSSR* **115**, 1097 (1957).
14. T.H. O'Dell, *The Electrodynamics of magneto-electric media*, North-Holland Publishing Company, Amsterdam, 1970.
15. X.G. Wen and A. Zee, preprint NSF-ITP-88-115 (1988).
16. A. Zee, preprint NSF-ITP-90-55 (1990).
17. See A.M.J. Schakel, *On broken symmetries in Fermi systems*, U. Amsterdam thesis (1989), and references therein; V.P. Nair, private communication.
18. I.J.R. Aitchison and C.M. Fraser, *Phys. Lett.* **146B**, 63 (1984).